தொகுதி கொடுப்பதாக இருந்த ஆலோசனை என்ன ஆனது ? அது இல்லாமற்போனதன் காரணம் என்ன ? ''

Mr. SPEAKER :—'' Let the hon. Member give notice of a separate question.''

Sri M. NARAYANA KURUP :—'' On a previous occasion, the Government said that they would submit their draft proposals on delimitation of constituencies after the Commission had published its preliminary report. Now, we find that there are certain changes in the final report of the Commission in respect of certain proposals as published in the preliminary report. May I know whether the Government submitted their proposals to the Commission after the publication of the preliminary report and whether the changes now made are in accordance with those proposals? ''

Mr. SPEAKER :—'' The main question is about the number of Ministers who attended the meetings of the Delimitation Commission at Coimbatore in June 1954. But the hon. Member wants to go into what proposals the Government submitted to the Commission.''

Sri M. NARAYANA KURUP :—'' The question is about delimitation proposals. Therefore, the supplementary question does arise out of the main question.''

Mr. SPEAKER :—'' No, it does not arise out of the main question.''

Sri P. RAMAMURTHI :—'' இந்தத் தொகுதி பிரிக்கும் விஷயம் ஒரு சாதாரண விஷயம். இந்த விஷயத்தில் சர்க்காருடைய கொள்கையை எடுத்துச் சொல்வதற்கு சர்க்காரிடம் அதிகாரி ஒருவரும் இல்லையா ? இந்த விஷயத்தை எடுத்துச் சொல்வதற்கு மூன்று மந்திரிகள் இருந்தால் திறமையாக எடுத்துச் சொல்ல முடியுமென்று சர்க்கார் கருதியதற்கு ஏதாவது காரணம் உண்டா ?''

The Hon. Sri C. SUBRAMANIAM :—'' As far as the Hon. Minister for Revenue was concerned, he happened to be at Coimbatore at the particular time and incidentally attended the meeting of the Commission. The Hon. Minister for Finance and Education and the Hon. Minister for Agriculture were deputed by the Government to make representations to the Commission with regard to delimitation of constituencies. After all, the matter covers the entire State. One Minister could not state the facts relating to the entire State. So, two Ministers were deputed for the purpose.''

Electrification of certain sewage pumping stations in the City.

* 18 *Q.*—Sri A. RATNAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Health be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Corporation of Madras have applied to the Government for a loan for the electrification of the sewage pumping stations at Rayapuram, Napier Park and Thandayarpet this year ;

3rd December 1954]

(b) the number of pumping stations in the City electrified already; and

(c) the approximate cost of electrifying each of these pumping stations?

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" (a) Yes sir.

" (b) One.

" (c) Rupees two lakhks."

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—" Is it a fact that the Corporation of Madras has been approaching the Government of Madras for the grant of loan for electrifying sewage pumping stations for the past five years? If so, will the Government explain why they have been niggardly enough to refuse the loan? "

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" The hon. Gentleman may give notice of a separate question."

Appointment of the Civil Supplies employees of Malabar in various departments.

* 19 Q.—SRI K. P. GOPALAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) how many employees who were working in the Civil Supplies Department, Malabar, have been reallotted to other departments after passing the Madras Public Service Commission examination;

(b) whether the Government have granted their previous service and increment of pay according to the service rules of the Government; and

(c) if not, why?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" (a) The number of Civil Supplies employees of the Malabar district who have been appointed to the various departments on the results of the Public Service Commission examinations held in February 1952 and June 1952 is *eighty-seven.*

" (b) Temporary employees in the temporary departments including the Civil Supplies Department who were allotted by the Madras Public Service Commission to regular departments on their coming out successful in the competitive examinations held in February 1952 and June 1952 were, as a special case, permitted to count the last continuous spell of their temporary service in the temporary department for the purpose of fixation of their initial pay in the regular departments, although they were not normally entitled to this benefit under the service rules.

" (c) Does not arise."

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—" When the persons concerned were employed in the Civil Supplies Department, they used to get the